#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 21.10.2003 19.10.2004 PCT/US2004/034394 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B43L1/00 Applicant TENEX CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Daintith, N

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8894





International application No. PCT/US2004/034394

	Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion				
With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
		langu	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
<ol><li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applicat necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</li></ol>							
	a. ty	a. type of material:					
		⊐ as	sequence listing				
		□ tat	ole(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. fo	ormat o	of material:				
		⊐ in	written format				
		⊐ in •	computer readable form				
	c. tir	me of t	filing/furnishing:				
	С	□ со	ntained in the international application as filed.				
		□ file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		⊐ fur	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		has be	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional s is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.				
4.	Add	litional	comments:				

International application No. PCT/US2004/034394

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,							
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 9,10							
because:								
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 9,10							
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:							
	the written form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
	☐ See separate sheet for further details							

International application No. PCT/US2004/034394

									<u> </u>	ı
	Во	x No. IV	Lack of unity of i	nventior	1					
1.	☐ In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:									
	☐ paid additional fees.									
			paid additional fees	under pr	otest.					
		$\boxtimes$	not paid additional f	ees.						
2.	☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.									
3.	Thi	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is								
	□ complied with									
	□ not complied with for the following reasons:									
	see separate sheet									
4.	Cor	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:								
	□ all parts.									
	☑ the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-8									
	Box ind	x No. V lustrial a	Reasoned staten applicability; citation	nent und ons and e	er Rule 4 explanation	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) w ons supporti	vith regard to ing such stat	novelty, inve	entive step or	r
1.	Sta	tement								
	Nov	velty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8		-		
	Inve	entive st	tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8				
	Indi	ustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8				
2.	Cita	ations ar	nd explanations							

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/US2004/034394

#### Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item III

# Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The subject-matter of claims 9 and 10 has not been searched and hence no opinion will be made on these claims.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The subject-matter of independent claims 1 concerns a pivoting panel system comprising a front panel, a back panel and at least on intermediate panel all pivotably mounted independently of each other. FR-A-1 603 876 (D1) shows such an arrangement of panels. The feature of claim 1 not known from D1 is that the panels are independently pivotably mounted about a common axis. This feature is neither known from nor rendered obvious by any of the cited prior art and the subject-matter of claim 1 appears to be novel and inventive within the meaning of Article 33 (2) and (3) PCT.
- 2. The dependent claims 2 to 8 are therefore likewise novel and inventive.
- 3. The subject-matter of the claims is industrially applicable within the meaning of Article 33(4) PCT.

#### Re Item VII

#### Certain defects in the international application

- 4. Rule 6.2(b) PCT requires that the features of the claims are provided with reference signs placed in parentheses.
- 5. Independent claim 1 is not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combi-

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/034394

nation from the prior art (D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT).